

PROJECT EVALUATION CRITERIA EXERCISE

October 19, 2016

The Paradise Valley Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan will among other things, provide a list of projects that would help to improve bicycling and walking in the Town. These projects will have been identified throughout the master planning process including the survey, open houses and stakeholder meetings.

In order to prioritize these projects, the Master Plan will provide a list of criteria to evaluate each of these projects' potential impact on bicycling and walking in Paradise Valley. Seven criteria have been listed below and space is provided for you to add additional criteria if you believe the Town should consider more. The plan will also provide typical cost estimates for various project types so preliminary costs can be developed for each project. Funding for projects will ultimately be determined by the Town Council, so cost is not included here as evaluation criteria.

Each of these criteria however may have a different level of importance. Please rank order the following criteria with #1 having the highest importance and #7 or #8 (if you add criteria) for the lowest level of importance.

Rank Order (1 to 7 or 8)	Criteria
1)	Improve Safety (project corrects existing or potential safety issue/s)
2)	Close a Gap (project closes a missing gap and provides some length of continuous sidewalk, unpaved trail, bike lane, or bike route)
3)	Piggy Back with Other Already Planned Projects (project can be combined with another capital improvement project for economies of scale)
4)	Focus on Heavy Use Corridors (project is located where there is significant existing or anticipated use)
5)	Link to Destinations (project improves access to schools, parks, preserves, shopping/eating areas, resorts and/or existing regional paths and trails, i.e. Echo Canyon, Trail 100, Indian Bend Wash, Arizona Canal)
6)	Address Multiple Modes (project improves some combination of bicycle or pedestrian facilities, or connections to bus or trolley stops)
7)	Address Disruptive and/or Aggressive Behavior (project attempts to lessen these behaviors in bicyclists and/or drivers)
	Additional Criteria the Town Should Consider? Please describe:

PROJECT EVALUATION CRITERIA EXERCISE

October 19, 2016

The Paradise Valley Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan will among other things, provide a list of projects that would help to improve bicycling and walking in the Town. These projects will have been identified throughout the master planning process including the survey, open houses and stakeholder meetings.

In order to prioritize these projects, the Master Plan will provide a list of criteria to evaluate each of these projects' potential impact on bicycling and walking in Paradise Valley. Seven criteria have been listed below and space is provided for you to add additional criteria if you believe the Town should consider more. The plan will also provide typical cost estimates for various project types so preliminary costs can be developed for each project. Funding for projects will ultimately be determined by the Town Council, so cost is not included here as evaluation criteria.

Each of these criteria however may have a different level of importance. Please rank order the following criteria with #1 having the highest importance and #7 or #8 (if you add criteria) for the lowest level of importance.

Rank Order (1 to 7 or 8)	Criteria
	Improve Safety (project corrects existing or potential safety issue/s)
	Close a Gap (project closes a missing gap and provides some length of continuous sidewalk, unpaved trail, bike lane, or bike route)
	Piggy Back with Other Already Planned Projects (project can be combined with another capital improvement project for economies of scale)
	Focus on Heavy Use Corridors (project is located where there is significant existing or anticipated use)
	Link to Destinations (project improves access to schools, parks, preserves, shopping/eating areas, resorts and/or existing regional paths and trails, i.e. Echo Canyon, Trail 100, Indian Bend Wash, Arizona Canal)
	Address Multiple Modes (project improves some combination of bicycle or pedestrian facilities, or connections to bus or trolley stops)
	Address Disruptive and/or Aggressive Behavior (project attempts to lessen these behaviors in bicyclists and/or drivers)
	Additional Criteria the Town Should Consider? Please describe: <i>water fountains trim trees/plants that grow into sidewalk</i>

PROJECT EVALUATION CRITERIA EXERCISE

October 19, 2016

The Paradise Valley Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan will among other things, provide a list of projects that would help to improve bicycling and walking in the Town. These projects will have been identified throughout the master planning process including the survey, open houses and stakeholder meetings.

In order to prioritize these projects, the Master Plan will provide a list of criteria to evaluate each of these projects' potential impact on bicycling and walking in Paradise Valley. Seven criteria have been listed below and space is provided for you to add additional criteria if you believe the Town should consider more. The plan will also provide typical cost estimates for various project types so preliminary costs can be developed for each project. Funding for projects will ultimately be determined by the Town Council, so cost is not included here as evaluation criteria.

Each of these criteria however may have a different level of importance. Please rank order the following criteria with #1 having the highest importance and #7 or #8 (if you add criteria) for the lowest level of importance.

Rank Order (1 to 7 or 8)	Criteria
1	Improve Safety (project corrects existing or potential safety issue/s)
2	Close a Gap (project closes a missing gap and provides some length of continuous sidewalk, unpaved trail, bike lane, or bike route)
4	Piggy Back with Other Already Planned Projects (project can be combined with another capital improvement project for economies of scale)
5	Focus on Heavy Use Corridors (project is located where there is significant existing or anticipated use)
3	Link to Destinations (project improves access to schools, parks, preserves, shopping/eating areas, resorts and/or existing regional paths and trails, i.e. Echo Canyon, Trail 100, Indian Bend Wash, Arizona Canal)
6	Address Multiple Modes (project improves some combination of bicycle or pedestrian facilities, or connections to bus or trolley stops)
7	Address Disruptive and/or Aggressive Behavior (project attempts to lessen these behaviors in bicyclists and/or drivers)
	Additional Criteria the Town Should Consider? Please describe:

PROJECT EVALUATION CRITERIA EXERCISE

October 19, 2016

The Paradise Valley Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan will among other things, provide a list of projects that would help to improve bicycling and walking in the Town. These projects will have been identified throughout the master planning process including the survey, open houses and stakeholder meetings.

In order to prioritize these projects, the Master Plan will provide a list of criteria to evaluate each of these projects' potential impact on bicycling and walking in Paradise Valley. Seven criteria have been listed below and space is provided for you to add additional criteria if you believe the Town should consider more. The plan will also provide typical cost estimates for various project types so preliminary costs can be developed for each project. Funding for projects will ultimately be determined by the Town Council, so cost is not included here as evaluation criteria.

Each of these criteria however may have a different level of importance. Please rank order the following criteria with #1 having the highest importance and #7 or #8 (if you add criteria) for the lowest level of importance.

Rank Order (1 to 7 or 8)	Criteria
2	Improve Safety (project corrects existing or potential safety issue/s) <i>Most cyclists do not obey traffic laws</i>
	Close a Gap (project closes a missing gap and provides some length of continuous sidewalk, unpaved trail, bike lane, or bike route)
	Piggy Back with Other Already Planned Projects (project can be combined with another capital improvement project for economies of scale)
	Focus on Heavy Use Corridors (project is located where there is significant existing or anticipated use)
	Link to Destinations (project improves access to schools, parks, preserves, shopping/eating areas, resorts and/or existing regional paths and trails, i.e. Echo Canyon, Trail 100, Indian Bend Wash, Arizona Canal)
	Address Multiple Modes (project improves some combination of bicycle or pedestrian facilities, or connections to bus or trolley stops)
1 ✓	Address Disruptive and/or Aggressive Behavior (project attempts to lessen these behaviors in bicyclists and/or drivers) <i>Enforce laws requiring cyclists to stop at stop signs</i>
	Additional Criteria the Town Should Consider? Please describe: <i>Don't build any additional on-street bicycling improvements too dangerous - too disruptive.</i>

PUBLIC OPEN HOUSE COMMENTS

October 19, 2016

TALK TO THE PLANNER

ROUTES

CORRIDOR DESIGNS

WAYFINDING AND TECHNOLOGY

PROJECT PRIORITIES

GENERAL COMMENTS

TPV should not encourage cyclists to crowd our streets
The only safe for cyclist + cars to co-exist is
off-street paths

NAME AND CONTACT INFORMATION (OPTIONAL)

THANKS FOR SHARING YOUR THOUGHTS!